



**J.K. SHAH**<sup>®</sup>  
**TEST SERIES**  
Evaluate Learn Succeed

**SUGGESTED SOLUTION**

**CA FINAL MAY 2019 EXAM**

**SUBJECT- AUDIT**

**Test Code - FNJ 7046**

**BRANCH - () (Date :)**

**Head Office : Shraddha, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Near Chinai College, Andheri (E), Mumbai – 69.**

**Tel : (022) 26836666**

**Answer 1:**

**(A)**

**Audit Procedure for ensuring correctness of Opening Balances :** As per SA 510 “Initial Audit Engagements – Opening Balances”, the auditor shall obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about whether the opening balances contain misstatements that materially affect the current period’s financial statements by –

- (i) Determining whether the prior period’s closing balances have been correctly brought forward to the current period or, when appropriate, any adjustments have been disclosed as prior period items in the current year’s Statement of Profit and Loss;
- (ii) Determining whether the opening balances reflect the application of appropriate accounting policies ; and
- (iii) By evaluating whether audit procedures performed in the current period provide evidence relevant to the opening balances; or performing specific audit procedures to obtain evidence regarding the opening balances.

If the auditor obtains audit evidence that the opening balances contain misstatements that could materially affect the current period’s financial statements, the auditor shall perform such additional audit procedures as are appropriate in the circumstances to determine the effect on the current period’s financial statements. If the auditor concludes that such misstatements exist in the current period’s financial statements, the auditor shall communicate the misstatements with the appropriate level of management and those charged with governance.

**Approach for drafting Audit Report :** SA 705 establishes requirements and provides guidance on circumstances that may result in a modification to the auditor’s opinion on the financial statements, the type of opinion appropriate in the circumstances, and the content of the auditor’s report when the auditor’s opinion is modified. The inability of the auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding opening balances may result in one of the following modifications to the opinion in the auditor’s report :

- (a) A qualified opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, as is appropriate in the circumstances; or
- (b) Unless prohibited by law or regulation, an opinion which is qualified or disclaimed, as appropriate, regarding the results of operations, and cash flows, where relevant, and unmodified regarding State of Affairs.

If the auditor concludes that the opening balances contain a misstatement that materially affects the current period’s financial statements and the effect of the misstatement is not properly accounted for or not adequately presented or disclosed, the auditor shall express a qualified opinion or an adverse opinion, as appropriate, in accordance with SA 705.

**(B)**

**Considerations of Auditor for Assessing the Risk of Material Misstatement :** As per SA 315 “Identifying and Assessing the Risk of Material Misstatement through understanding the entity and its Environment,” the auditor shall identify and assess the risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level; and the assertion level for classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures to provide a basis for designing and performing further audit procedures. For this purpose, the auditor shall :

- (i) Identify risks throughout the process of obtaining an understanding of the entity and its environment, including relevant controls that relate to the risks, and by considering the classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures in the financial statements;
- (ii) Assess the identified risks, and evaluate whether they relate more pervasively to the financial statements as a whole and potentially affect many assertions;
- (iii) Relate the identified risks to what can go wrong at the assertion level, taking account of relevant controls that the auditor intends to test; and
- (iv) Consider the likelihood of misstatement, including the possibility of multiple misstatements, and whether the potential misstatement is of a magnitude that could result in a material misstatement.

**Auditor's Responses to the Assessed Risk of Material Misstatement :** According to SA 330 "The Auditor's Responses to Assessed Risks", the auditor shall design and implement overall responses to address the assessed risks of material misstatement. In designing the audit procedures to be performed, the auditor shall :

- (i) Consider the reasons for the assessment given to the risk of material misstatement at the assertion level for each class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure, including :
  - (1) The likelihood of material misstatement due to the particular characteristics of the relevant class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure; and
  - (2) Whether the risk assessment takes into account the relevant controls, thereby requiring the auditor to obtain audit evidence to determine whether the controls are operating effectively; and
- (ii) Obtain more persuasive audit evidence the higher the auditor's assessment of risk.

**Answer 2:**

**(A)**

- (i) Management Consultancy Engagements;
- (ii) Representation before various Authorities;
- (iii) Engagements to prepare tax returns or advising clients in taxation matters;
- (iv) Engagements for the compilation of financial statements;
- (v) Engagements solely to assist the client in preparing, compiling or collating information other than financial statements;
- (vi) Testifying as an expert witness;
- (vii) Providing expert opinion on points of principle, such as Accounting Standards or the applicability of certain laws, on the basis of facts provided by the client; and
- (viii) Engagement for Due diligence.

The phrase 'Assurance Services' is used interchangeably with Audit Services, Attestation Functions, and Audit Functions.

**(B)**

**Using the work of an Auditor's Expert :** As per SA 620 "Using the Work of an Auditor's Expert", the expertise of an expert may be required in the actuarial calculation of liabilities associated with insurance contracts or employee benefit plans etc., however, the auditor has sole

responsibility for the audit opinion expressed, and that responsibility is not reduced by the auditor's use of the work of an auditor's expert.

The auditor shall evaluate the adequacy of the auditor's expert's work for the auditor's purposes, including the relevance and reasonableness of that expert's findings or conclusions, and their consistency with other audit evidence as per SA 500.

Further, in view of SA 620, if the expert's work involves use of significant assumptions and methods, then the relevance and reasonableness of those assumptions and methods must be ensured by the auditor and if the expert's work involves the use of source data that is significant to that expert's work, the relevance, completeness, and accuracy of that source data in the circumstances must be verified by the auditor.

In the instant case, Mr. X, Mr. Y and Mr. Z, jointly appointed as an auditor of KRP Ltd., referred their own known Actuaries for valuation of gratuity scheme. Actuaries are an auditor's expert as per SA 620. Mr. Y's referred actuary has provided the gratuity valuation report, which later on found faulty. Further, Mr. Z is not agreed with this report therefore the submitted a separate audit report specifically for such gratuity valuation.

In such situation, it was duty of Mr. X, Mr. Y and Mr. Z, before using the gratuity valuation report of Actuary, to ensure the relevance and reasonableness of assumptions and methods used. They were also required to examine the relevance, completeness and accuracy of source data used for such report before expressing their opinion.

Mr. X and Mr. Y will be held responsible for grossly negligence and using such faulty report without examining the adequacy of expert actuary's work whereas Mr. Z will not be held liable for the same due to separate opinion expressed by him.

**Answer 3:**

**(A)**

**Subsequent Events :** This case requires attention to SA 560 "Subsequent Events", AS 4 "Contingencies and Events occurring after the Balance Sheet Date" and AS 29 "Provisions, Contingent liabilities and Contingent Assets."

As per AS 4 "Contingencies and Events occurring after the Balance Sheet Date", adjustments to assets and liabilities are required for events occurring after the balance sheet date that provide additional information materially affecting the determination of the amounts relating to conditions existing at the balance sheet date Similarly as per AS 29 "Provisions, Contingent liabilities and Contingent Assets", future events that may affect the amount required to settle an obligation should be reflected in the amount of a provision where there is sufficient objective evidence that the will occur.

In the instant case, the amount of 1.50 crores is a material amount and it is the result of an event, which has occurred after the Balance Sheet date. The facts have become known to the auditor before the date of issue of the Audit Report and Financial Statements.

The auditor has to perform the procedure to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence covering the period from the date of the financial statements i.e. 31.3.2017 to the date of Auditors Report i.e. 31.05.2017. It will be observed that as a result of long pending negotiations a sum of 1.50 crores representing arrears of salaries of the year 2015 – 16 and 2016 – 17 have not been included in the

financial statements. It is quite clear that the obligation requires provision for outstanding expenses as per AS 4 and AS 29.

As per SA 560 “Subsequent Events”, the auditor should assure that all events occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which the applicable financial reporting framework requires adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

So the auditor should request the management to adjust the sum of 1.50 crores by making provision for expenses. If the management does not accept the request the auditor should qualify the audit report.

**(B)**

**Difference between a forensic accounting analysis and an audit:** The general public believes that a financial auditor would detect a fraud if one were being perpetrated during the financial auditor’s audit. The truth, however, is that the procedures for financial audits are designed to detect material misstatements, not immaterial frauds. While it is true that many of the financial statements and frauds could have, perhaps should have, been detected by financial auditors, the vast majority of frauds could not be detected with the use of financial audits. Reasons include the dependence of financial auditors on a sample and the auditors’ reliance on examining the audit trail versus examining the events’ and activities behind the documents. The latter is simply resource prohibitive in terms of costs and time.

There are some basic differences today between the procedures of forensic auditors and those of financial auditors. In comparison, forensic accounting and audit differ in specific ways, as shown below :

- **Forensic Accounting :**
  - In response to an event
  - Financial investigation
  - Finding used as evidence in court or to resolve disputes
- **Audit :**
  - Mandatory
  - Measures compliance with reporting standards
  - Obtain reasonable assurance that financial statements are free of material misstatement in practice, there are difference in mind set between forensic accounting and audit :
  - “Investigative mentality” vs. “professional scepticism”. A forensic accountant will often require more extensive corroboration.
  - A forensic accountant may focus more on seemingly immaterial transactions.

A forensic accountant will often look for indications of fraud that are not subject to the scope of a financial statement audit.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Other Audits	Forensic Audit
1.	Objectives	Express an opinion as to ‘True & Fair’ presentation.	Whether fraud has taken place in books
2.	Techniques	Substantive & Compliance. Sample based	Investigative, substantive or in depth checking
3.	Period	Normally for a particulars accounting period.	No such limitation
4.	Verification of stock,	Relies on the management	Independent /

	Estimation realisable value of assets, provisions, liability etc.	certificate/ Management Representation	verification of suspected / selected items where misappropriation in suspected
5.	Off balance sheet items (like contacts etc.)	Used to vouch the arithmetic accuracy & compliance with procedures.	Regulatory & propriety of these transactions/ contracts are examined.
6.	Adverse findings if any	Negative opinion or qualified opinion expressed with/ without quantification.	Legal determination of fraud impact and identification of perpetrators depending on scope.

**Answer 4:**

**(A)**

Key phases in the audit execution stage are Execution Planning, Risk and Control Evaluation, Testing and Reporting.

- Execution
- Risk and control Evaluation,
- Testing
- Reporting

1. **Execution Planning :** Prior to commencement of an audit engagement, it is important to lay down the roadmap for audit execution to ensure timely and quality audit results. The auditors need to plan their work in order to carry out the audit in an effective, efficient and timely manner. A detailed audit program is prepared laying down the audit objectives, scope and audit approach. The manpower requirement, audit team qualifications, and the time element, etc. are some of the important consideration during execution planning. In order to plan effectively, the auditor may need some more information about the audit area. A preliminary survey would help in gathering the required information.
2. **Risk and Control Evaluation:** For each segment of audit, the auditors should conduct a detailed risk and control assessment i.e. list the risks that must be reviewed in that segment, capture for each risk the controls that exist or those that are needed to protect against the risk and show for each control, the work steps required to test the effectiveness of the controls. While making Risk & Control assessment it is necessary to borne in mind Materiality levels as the same is linked with Audit Risks.
3. **Testing :** Once a comprehensive understanding is gained of the key risks and the controls to be evaluated in a given audit area, the auditors should test the operating effectiveness of the controls to determine whether controls are operating as designed. There are multiple test methods which can be used to arrive at the conclusions on the effectiveness of the controls.
4. **Reporting :** Sa 700, "Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements" establishes standards on the form and content of the auditor's report issued as a result issued as a result of an audit performed by an auditor of the financial statements of an entity. The auditor should review and assess the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained as the basis for the expression of an opinion on the financial statements. This review and assessment involves considering whether the financial statements have been

prepared in accordance with an acceptable financial reporting framework applicable to the entity under audit. It is also necessary to consider whether the financial statements comply with the relevant statutory requirements such as compliance of Provisions & Enactments of the Company Law, Accounting Standards framed by ICAI, latest Guidelines etc.

The auditor's report should contain a clear written expression of opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. A measure of uniformity in the form and content of the auditor's report is desirable because it helps to promote the reader's understanding of the auditor's report and to identify unusual circumstances when they occur. A statute governing the entity or a regulator may require the auditor to include certain matters in the audit report or prescribe the form in which the auditor should issue his report.

**5. Other Important Considerations:** In addition to above, there are certain other considerations which the auditor is required to take care while executing the audit such as using the work of other auditor, using the work of an auditor's expert etc.

**(B)**

**Objectives of Quality Review :** Quality review is directed towards evaluation of audit quality and adherence to various statutory and other regulatory requirements. They are designed to identify and address weaknesses and deficiencies related to how the audits were performed by the audit firms. To achieve that goal, quality reviews included reviews of certain aspects of selected statutory audits performed by the firm and reviews of other matters related to the firm's quality control system.

In the course of reviewing aspects of selected audits, a review may identify ways in which a particular audit is deficient, including failures by the firm to identify, or to address appropriately, aspects in which an entity's financial statements do not present fairly the financial position or the results of operations in conformity with the applicable Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and other technical standards. It is not the purpose of a review, however, to review all of a firm's audits or to identify every aspect in which a reviewed audit is deficient. Accordingly, a review should not be understood to provide any assurance that the firm's audits, or its clients' financial statements or reporting thereon, are free of any deficiencies.

**Answer 5:**

**(A)**

**Evaluating the Work of Management's Expert :** As per SA 500 "Audit Evidence", when information to be used as audit evidence has been prepared using the work of a management's expert, the auditor shall, to the extent necessary, having regard to the significance of that expert's work for the auditor's purposes, -

- (a) Evaluate the competence, capabilities and objectivity of that expert ;
- (b) Obtain an understanding of the work of that expert; and
- (c) Evaluate the appropriateness of that expert's work as audit evidence for the relevant assertion.

The auditor may obtain information regarding the competence, capabilities and objectivity of a management's expert from a variety of sources, such as personal experience with previous work of that expert; discussions with that expert; discussions with others who are familiar with that expert's work; knowledge of that expert's qualifications; published papers or books written by that expert.

Aspects of the management's expert's field relevant to the auditor's understanding may include what assumptions and methods are used by the management's expert, and whether they are generally accepted within that expert's field and appropriate for financial reporting purposes.

The auditor may also consider the following while evaluating the appropriateness of the management's expert's work as audit evidence for the relevant assertion :

- (i) The relevance and reasonableness of that expert's findings or conclusions, their consistency with other audit evidence, and whether they have been appropriately reflected in the financial statements;
- (ii) If that expert's work involves use of significant assumptions and methods, the relevance and reasonableness of those assumptions and methods; and
- (iii) If that expert's work involves significant use of source data, the relevance, completeness, and accuracy of that source data.

In the instant case, CROX Ltd. accepted the gratuity liability valuation based on the certificate issued by an expert i.e., a qualified actuary who is management's expert. Here basis for computation and valuation is taken as age 65 years by the actuary, which is not correct as company is considering proposal to increase the retirement age from existing age to 65 years. Therefore, assumptions and methods used by the management's expert are not appropriate for financial reporting purposes. Hence, auditor may qualify the report accordingly.

## (B)

**Closure of Business** : As per SA 570 "Going Concern", management intentions to liquidate the entity or to cease operations is one of the event or condition that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as going concern.

As per SA 570, if events or conditions have been identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern but, based on the audit evidence obtained the auditor concludes that no material uncertainty exists, the auditor shall evaluate whether, in view of the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, the financial statements provide adequate disclosures about these events or conditions.

Even when no material uncertainty exists, it requires the auditor to evaluate whether, in view of the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, the financial statements provide adequate disclosure about events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

Further, as per SA 701 "Communicating Key Audit Matters in the Independent Auditor's Report", when matters relating to going concern may be determined to be key audit matters, and explains that a material uncertainty related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern is, by its nature, a key audit matter. SA 701 also emphasizes on auditor's responsibility to communicate key audit matters in the auditor's report.

As per the facts given in the case, intention of the Toddle Limited had definite plan of its business being closed down within short period from 31 March, 2017. However, financial statements for the year ended 31.3.2017 had been prepared on the same basis as it had been in earlier periods with an additional note.

Thus, management intentions to liquidate the entity or to cease operations is one of the event or condition that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as going concern is a key audit matter. Therefore, the auditor is required to Communicate the Key Audit Matters in accordance with SA 570 in above stated manner. Simple reference as to a possible cessation of business and making of adjustments, if any, be made at the time of cessation only by the auditor in his report is not sufficient.