



J.K. SHAH[®]
TEST SERIES
Evaluate Learn Succeed

SUGGESTED SOLUTION

CA FINAL, NOVEMBER 2019

INDIRECT TAX

Test Code – F N J 7 2 1 7

BRANCH - () (Date :)

Head Office : Shraddha, 3rd Floor, Near Chinai College, Andheri (E), Mumbai – 69.

Tel : (022) 26836666

ANS.1.)

Computation of maximum amount of refund admissible to Kailash Global (P) Ltd.

Particulars	(Rs.)
Exports of product 'A' to UK [Note 1]	Nil
Domestic supplies of taxable product 'B' during the period [Note 2]	75,000
Supply of goods to Export Oriented Unit [Note 3]	Nil
Export of exempt supplies [Note 4]	1,14,000
Total refund claim admissible	1,89,000

Notes:

1. Export of goods is a zero rated supply in terms of section 16(1)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017. Further, Kailash Global (P) Ltd. exports goods without payment of tax under letter of undertaking in accordance with the provisions of section 16(3)(a) of the IGST Act, 2017. Therefore, as per clause (i) of first proviso to section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017, a registered person may claim refund, of any unutilised ITC in the case of zero rated supply at the end of any tax period. However, second proviso to section 54(3) lays down that refund of unutilized ITC is not allowed if the goods exported out of India are subjected to export duty.
2. Refund of unutilised ITC is allowed in case of inverted duty structure, i.e. where the credit has accumulated on account of rate of tax on inputs being higher than the rate of tax on output supplies (other than nil rated or fully exempt supplies) except supplies of goods or services or both as may be notified by the Government on the recommendations of the GST Council [Clause (ii) of the first proviso to section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017].

Rule 89(5) of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that in the case of refund on account of inverted duty structure, refund of ITC is granted as per the following formula -

Maximum Refund Amount =

$$\frac{\text{Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods and services} \times \text{Net ITC}}{\text{Adjusted Total Turnover}} - \text{Tax payable on such inverted rated supply of goods and services}$$

where-

“Net ITC” means ITC availed on inputs during the relevant period other than the ITC availed for which refund is claimed under sub-rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Adjusted total turnover” means the sum total of the value of:

- (a) the turnover in a State/ Union territory, as defined under section 2(112), excluding turnover of services; &
- (b) the turnover of zero-rated supply of services determined in terms of specified manner and non-zero-rated supply of services,

excluding:

- (i) the value of exempt supplies other than zero-rated supplies; and
- (ii) the turnover of supplies in respect of which refund is claimed under sub-rule (4A) or sub-rule (4B) or both, if any,

during the relevant period.

Tax payable on inverted rated supply of goods = Rs. 10,00,000 × 5% = Rs. 50,000 Here, Net ITC = Rs. 3,50,000, Adjusted Total Turnover = Rs. 28,00,000 [Rs. 7,00,000 + Rs. 10,00,000 + Rs. 5,00,000 + Rs. 6,00,000] and Turnover of inverted rated supply of goods = Rs. 10,00,000

Thus, maximum refund amount under rule 89(5) = $(Rs. 10,00,000 \times Rs. 3,50,000) / Rs. 28,00,000 - Rs. 50,000 = Rs. 75,000$

3. As per section 2(39) of the CGST Act, 2017, deemed exports means such supplies of goods as may be notified under section 147 of the CGST Act, 2017. Supplies to EOU is notified as deemed export under section 147 vide Notification No. 48/2017 CT dated 18.10.2017. In respect of supplies regarded as deemed exports, the application of refund can be filed by the supplier of deemed export supplies only in cases where the recipient does not avail of ITC on such supplies and furnishes an undertaking to the effect that the supplier may claim the refund [Third proviso to rule 89(1) of the CGST Rules, 2017]. Therefore, since in the given case, the recipient is claiming ITC, Kailash Global (P) Ltd. (supplier of deemed exports) cannot claim refund of ITC.
4. Section 16(2) of the IGST Act, 2017 stipulates that subject to the provisions of section 17(5) of the CGST Act, ITC may be availed for making zero-rated supplies, notwithstanding that such supply may be an exempt supply. Section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017 allows refund of ITC in the case of zero rated supply made without payment of tax.

Rule 89(4) of the CGST Rules, 2017 stipulates that in the case of zero-rated supply of goods or services or both without payment of tax under bond/LUT in accordance with the provisions of section 16(3) of the IGST Act, 2017, refund of ITC shall be granted as per the following formula:

$$\text{Refund Amount} = \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods +} \\ \text{Turnover of zero-rated supply of services} \end{array} \right)}{\text{Adjusted Total Turnover}} \times \text{Net ITC}$$

where-

“Net ITC” means ITC availed on inputs and input services during the relevant period other than the ITC availed for which refund is claimed under sub-rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods” means the value of zero-rated supply of goods made during the relevant period without payment of tax under bond/LUT, other than the turnover of supplies in respect of which refund is claimed under sub rules (4A) or (4B) or both.

“Adjusted total turnover” means the same as explained in point 2 above.

Here, Turnover of zero rated supply of goods = Rs. 6,00,000, Net ITC = Rs. 5,32,000 (ITC on outdoor catering disallowed under section 17(5) of CGST Act, 2017) and Adjusted Total Turnover = Rs. 28,00,000 (as computed in point 2 above)

Thus, maximum refund amount under rule 89(4) = Rs. 6,00,000 × Rs. 5,32,000 / Rs. 28,00,000 = Rs. 1,14,000. **(10 Marks)**

ANS.2.A)

As per section 73 of the CGST Act, 2017, a show cause notice can be issued by the proper officer if it appears to him that:

- tax has not been paid; or
- tax has been short paid; or
- tax has been erroneously refunded; or
- input tax credit has been wrongly availed or utilized,

for any reason other than the reason of fraud or any wilful misstatement or suppression of facts to evade tax.

The notice should be issued at least 3 months prior to the time limit specified for passing the order determining the amount of tax, interest and any penalty payable by defaulter [Sub-section (2) of section 73].

The order referred herein has to be passed within three years from the due date for furnishing the annual return for the financial year to which the tax not paid or short paid or input tax credit wrongly availed or utilised relates to or within three years from the date of erroneous refund [Sub-section (10) of section 73].

Thus, the time-limit for issuance of show cause notice is 2 years and 9 months from the due date of filing annual return for the financial year to which the demand pertains or from the date of erroneous refund. As per section 44(1) of the CGST Act, 2017, the due date of filing annual return for a financial year is 31st day of December following the end of such financial year.

(5 Marks)

ANS.2.B)

As per section 121 of the CGST Act, 2017, no appeal shall lie against any decision taken or order passed by a CGST officer if such decision taken or order passed relates to any one or more of the following matters, namely:—

- (a) an order of the Commissioner or other authority empowered to direct transfer of proceedings from one officer to another officer; or
- (b) an order pertaining to the seizure or retention of books of account, register and other documents; or
- (c) an order sanctioning prosecution under the CGST Act, 2017; or
- (d) an order passed under section 80 of the CGST Act, 2017 (payment of tax in instalments).

(5 Marks)

ANS.3.A)

As per section 39(9) of the CGST Act, 2017, if any registered person after furnishing a return discovers any omission or incorrect particulars therein, he shall rectify such omission or incorrect particulars in the return to be furnished for the month or quarter during which such omission or incorrect particulars are noticed, subject to payment of interest.

However, section 39(9) does not permit rectification of error or omission discovered on account of scrutiny, audit, inspection or enforcement activities by tax authorities. Further, no such rectification of any omission or incorrect particulars shall be allowed after the due date for furnishing of return for the month of September or second quarter following the end of the financial year, or the actual date of furnishing of relevant annual return, whichever is earlier.

(5 Marks)

ANS.3.B)

Save as otherwise provided in the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, where a person, liable to pay tax, interest or penalty under CGST Act, dies, then:

- **business is continued after his death:** if a business carried on by the person is continued after his death by his legal representative or any other person, such legal

representative or other person, shall be liable to pay tax, interest or penalty due from such person under this Act.

- **business is discontinued after his death:** if the business carried on by the person is discontinued, whether before or after his death, his legal representative shall be liable to pay, out of the estate of the deceased, to the extent to which the estate is capable of meeting the charge, the tax, interest or penalty due from such person under this Act,

whether such tax, interest or penalty has been determined before his death but has remained unpaid or is determined after his death. **(5 Marks)**

ANS.4)

- (i) Advance ruling under GST can be sought by a registered person or a person desirous of obtaining registration under GST law [Section 95(c) of the CGST Act, 2017]. Therefore, it is not mandatory for a person seeking advance ruling to be registered. **(2 Marks)**

- (ii) Section 97(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates the questions/matters on which advance ruling can be sought. It provides that advance ruling can be sought for, inter alia, determining the classification of any goods or services or both. Therefore, Ranjan can seek the advance ruling for determining the classification of the goods proposed to be supplied by him.

Further, section 103 of the CGST Act provides that an advance ruling pronounced by AAR is binding only on the applicant who had sought it and on the concerned officer or the jurisdictional officer in respect of the applicant. This implies that an advance ruling is not applicable to similarly placed other taxable persons in the State. It is only limited to the person who has applied for an advance ruling.

Thus, Sambhav will not be able to apply the classification of the goods that will be decided in the advance ruling order to be obtained by Ranjan, to the goods supplied by him in Delhi. **(3 Marks)**

- (iii) Section 103(2) of the CGST Act, 2017 stipulates that the advance ruling shall be binding unless the law, facts or circumstances supporting the original advance ruling have changed. Therefore, once Ranjan has sought the advance ruling with respect to an eligible matter/question, it will be binding till the time the law, facts and circumstances supporting the original advance ruling remain same. **(2 Marks)**

- (iv) No, the tax advisor's view is not correct. As per section 100 of the CGST Act, 2017, if the applicant is aggrieved with the finding of the AAR, he can file an appeal with Appellate Authority for Advance Ruling (AAAR). Similarly, if the concerned/ jurisdictional officer

of CGST/SGST does not agree with the findings of AAR, he can also file an appeal with AAAR.

Such appeal must be filed within 30 days from the receipt of the advance ruling. The Appellate Authority may allow additional 30 days for filing the appeal, if it is satisfied that there was a sufficient cause for delay in presenting the appeal. **(3 Marks)**

Ans. 5)

1) a

2) a

3) b

4) b

5) b

6) b

7) d

8) a